	:1		
1	Matthew Franklin Jaksa (CA State Bar No. 248072)		
2	HOLME ROBERTS & OWEN LLP 560 Mission Street, 25 th Floor		
3	San Francisco, CA 94105-2994		
4	Telephone: (415) 268-2000 Facsimile: (415) 268-1999		
5	Email: matt.jaksa@hro.com		
6	Attorneys for Plaintiffs,		
7	ARISTA RECORDS LLC; UMG RECORDINGS, INC.; SONY BMG		
8	MUSIC ENTERTAINMENT; and INTERSCOPE RECORDS		
9			
10	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION		
11			
12			
13	ARISTA RECORDS LLC, a Delaware limited	CASE NO. 3:08-01041-SC	
14	liability company; UMG RECORDINGS, INC., a Delaware corporation; SONY BMG MUSIC	The Honorable Samuel Conti	
15	ENTERTAINMENT, a Delaware general partnership; and INTERSCOPE RECORDS, a	EX PARTE APPLICATION TO CONTINUE	
16	California general partnership,	CASE MANAGEMENT CONFERENCE	
17	Plaintiffs,	AND [PROPOSED] ORDER	
18	V.		
19	DADDIN HODINAT		
20	DARRYL HORVAT,		
21	Defendant.		
22			
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28			

EX PARTE APPLICATION TO CONTINUE CASE MANAGEMENT CONFERENCE AND [PROPOSED] ORDER Case No. 3:08-01041-SC #37898 v1

5

3

14

16

22

- Plaintiffs have not requested any previous continuances of the case management 1. conference in this matter.
- 2. Plaintiffs filed the initial Complaint for Copyright Infringement in this matter against a Doe defendant on February 21, 2008. Plaintiffs did not have sufficient identifying information to name the defendant in the Complaint, but were able to identify the Doe defendant by the Internet Protocol address assigned by Defendant's Internet Service Provider ("ISP").
- 3. In order to determine the Doe defendant's true identity, Plaintiffs filed their Ex Parte Application for Leave to Take Immediate Discovery on February 21, 2008, seeking the Court's permission to serve a Rule 45 subpoena on the ISP.
- 4. The Court entered an Order for Leave to take Immediate Discovery on March 3, 2008, which was served upon the ISP along with a Rule 45 subpoena. On April 16, 2008, the ISP responded to Plaintiffs' subpoena, identifying the defendant, Darryl Horvat.
- 5. Plaintiffs then sent Mr. Horvat written notification of their copyright infringement claim, inviting Mr. Horvat to contact Plaintiffs and attempt to resolve the dispute. The parties discussed settlement, but were unable to reach a settlement of this matter.
- 6. Accordingly, along with this request, Plaintiffs have filed their First Amended Complaint, amending the initial John Doe complaint to name Darryl Horvat, individually, as Defendant. Once Summons is issued, Plaintiffs will engage a process server to serve process on Defendant.
- 7. Because Defendant has not yet been served or appeared in this action, a case management conference is unnecessary at this time. Plaintiffs therefore respectfully request that the Court continue the case management conference currently set for June 6, 2008 at 10:00 a.m. to September 5, 2008, to allow time for service of process, for Defendant to prepare and file an answer,

	Π	
1	and for the parties to meet and confer and file a joint case management statement in advance of the	
2	case management conference.	
3		
4	Dated: May 27, 2008 HOLME ROBERTS & OWEN LLP	
5		
6	By: <u>/s/ Matthew Franklin Jaksa</u> MATTHEW FRANKLIN JAKSA	
7	Attorney for Plaintiffs	
8		
9		
10	[PROPOSED] ORDER	
11	Good cause having been shown: IT IS ORDERED that the case management conference currently set for June 6, 2008 at 10:00 a.m. be continued to September 5, 2008.	
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17 18	Dated: By: Honorable Samuel Conti	
19	United States District Judge	
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		
	3	
	.,	